Pupil premium strategy statement: Oakthorpe Primary School

1. Summary information						
School	chool Oakthorpe Primary School					
Academic Year	2016-17	Total PP budget	£220,860	Date of most recent PP Review	n/a	
Total number of pupils	546	Number of pupils eligible for PP	162	Date for next internal review of this strategy	Jan 2017	

103.26	105.65
	110.6
	110.6
400.00	
103.96	107.88

3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)					
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral langua	ge skills)				
Α	High proportion of children with low oral language skills or with English as an	additional language in KS1 and in particular in Reception for 2016/17				
В	Low attaining SEN pupils in KS2 and Reception.					
С	PP pupils do not always make better than expected progress from their startineed to make accelerated progress to be in line.	ng points. PP pupils are just below all pupils in most year groups and				
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as	s low attendance rates)				
Α	Attendance of PP pupils in the last two academic years has been less than 96 of some families	%. This is low in particular year groups due to the social care needs				
В	Many new starters during the academic year have been eligible for Pupil Pren	nium and also have English as an additional language.				
С	There is a high level of social care needs amongst families where children are ability to support children at home and little access to enrichment activities.	eligible for Pupil Premium, resulting in emotional needs, reduced				
4. D	desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will be measured)	Success criteria				
A.	Improvement in attendance of PP pupils.	Attendance of PP pupils will be consistently in line with or above 96%.				
		The gap between PP and non-PP will reduce to less than 0.5%.				
B.	Improve progress across KS2.	Progress of PP pupils will be a minimum of 6 points in core subjects across the year.				
		The percentage of PP pupils achieving expected progress will be in line with or above non-PP pupils.				

C.	Improve attainment of PP children who also have EAL.	Attainment gap between EAL and non-EAL will diminish.
		Higher percentage of EAL pupils achieving ARE.
D.	Narrow the gap between PP and non PP pupils in reading and maths.	Percentage of PP pupils achieving ARE will be above 70% in reading and maths to make them in line with non PP attainment. PP pupils will make accelerated progress (more than 6 points)
E.	Children with emotional difficulties are able to make good progress	PP pupils are prioritised for support Children have good learning behaviours and make good progress
F.	Improved oral language skills across Reception and KS1	Children make rapid progress so a higher percentage reach ARE Children speak confidently in sentences Children are confident socially and able to express their feelings

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year 2016-2017

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Improve reading skills for all pupils.	Daily Supported Reading Programme for year 1 and 2 pupils, and Reception pupils later in the year. Daily reading in	The programme has shown an improvement in reading attainment and progress for year 1 pupils. Staff trained and able to continue with the programme.	DSR Lead to monitor. AHT to analyse data. Observation. AHTs to monitor. Timetabled daily. AHTs to monitor.	Literacy lead AHTs DSR lead	January 2017 March 2017 July 2017
Children receive more personalised support to enable them to make good or better progress.	other year groups. Targeted teaching for maths, literacy and phonics. Increased time for high quality oral feedback	Accelerated progress for PP pupils in these subjects. More PP pupils reaching age related expectations. Involvement with MITA Project identified strategies for improved deployment of TAs.	AHTs to ensure that support teachers' groups are well selected. Monitor changes to groups.	Headteacher, Deputy Headteacher, AHTs Support teachers	July 2017

Mastery for Maths	Inset for all staff.	Close the attainment gap between	Literacy and Maths lead to	Literacy and	December 2016
and Reading		PP and non PP, including at a higher	monitor.	Maths Lead	March 2017
developed across the	Selected staff to	level			June 2017
school	receive additional				
	CPD	Raise attainment of more able PP			
		pupils.			
	Mastery focus in				
	observations /				
	learning walks				
	•		Tota	al budgeted cost	£71,000

ii. Targeted support

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Improve reading skills for pupils eligible for PP.	Support teachers and TAs run intervention groups in phonics and reading.	Some pupils need targeted support and programmes matched to their individual needs. The programme has shown positive outcomes across 2 local authorities in KS1 reading. Tracking data in the school shows that pupils in year 1 made better than expected progress in reading.	 Improving outcomes in reading is a school priority. Groups will be tracked termly and assessment information analysed Timetabled to ensure that interventions take place 	AHTs Literacy Lead	December 2017 March 2017 June 2017

Accelerate progress of	Support teachers,	Some pupils need targeted support	Groups will be tracked termly and	нт	December 2017
all PP pupils in	TAs, AHTs, graduate	to close the gap and to have	assessment information analysed.	DHT	March 2017
reading, writing and	TAs to provide	individual support matched to their		AHTs	June 2017
maths.	intervention	needs	Focused pupils identified through		
	programmes and		pupil progress meetings and		
	teach targeted	Data for 2016 in the school showed	outcomes tracked to measure the		
	groups in reading,	positive progress measures where	impact of the support.		
	writing and maths	additional support and interventions			
	including : Read,	were implemented.	Informal observations of		
	Write Inc, Catch-up		interventions by SLT.		
	Literacy, First Class	The data for PP pupils shows they			
	Number and Toe-by-	must continue to make accelerated			
	Toe	progress to narrow the gap with all			
		pupils nationally.			
		Good results from some of these			
		programmes are available on the			
		Sutton Trust Toolkit.			
Provide additional	Provide EAL pupils	School data shows that there is still a	EAL Lead will take a leading role	EAL Lead	December 2017
support for EAL PP	with 1:1 and group	high percentage of EAL pupils not	in ensuring pupils outcomes are		March 2017
pupils in particular in	intervention.	achieving the expected standard in	improving and that the provision	AHTs	June 2017
reception and new		reading and writing in particular.	supports pupils to develop their		
arrivals in KS2.	EAL lead to track		fluency in speaking English.	DHT/HT	
	progress.	EAL pupils need intervention to			
		support them to develop fluency	SMT to look at data and to		
	EAL lead to train	when speaking English.	highlight the needs of pupils		
	staff in new		during pupil progress meetings.		
	assessment criteria.	Starting points of EAL learners will			
		vary according to their age, level of			
	Induction of these	English and educational background,			
	pupils by EAL	so no single induction programme			
	support staff.	will match everyone's needs British			
		Council 2016			

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
iii. Other approac			1	T	-
			Total b	udgeted cost	£ 109,000
		proved successful.			
	selected families.	Learning Mentor time have			
	Provide support for	Programmes such as EYSI and	logs to analyse impact.		
τι ραμίιο.	programmes.	selected publis.	SMT to review data and other	HT	Julie 2017
emotional skills of PP pupils.	provide	the positive impact had on selected pupils.	review impact regularly.	AHTs DHT	March 2017 June 2017
Improve social and	SEN team to	School data and evidence shows	SENco to meet with team to	SENco	December 2017
	translators.	interventions.			
	through ESOL and	progress of pupils having these			
	with support	School data shows accelerated			
	EAL and PP pupils		during pupil progress meetings.		
	Provide parents of	ELKLAN	highlight the needs of pupils		
		Talk Boost	SMT to look at data and to	SENco	
	targeted pupils.				
	intervention for	programmes such as:	happening regularly.	AHTs	June 2017
skills for all PP pupils.	Language	provide targeted intervention	SMT to ensure interventions are		March 2017
Improve oral language	Provide Speech and	Speech and Language Team to	Speech and Language Lead and	S&L Lead	December 2017

and punctuality of PP pupils.	Heads and Head of School to focus on PP pupils	pupils can be improved with good attendance. Targeting families and promoting the importance of	Regular meetings with EWO. Governors to have a termly	DHT AHTs PSA	March 2017 July 2017
Improve attendance and punctuality of	PSA, Assistant Heads and Head of	We know that attainment for all pupils can be improved with good	Tracking of attendance data Regular meetings with EWO.	HT DHT	December 2016 March 2017
	allowing access to wider opportunities				
	subscription				
	Partnership				
	Edmonton Schools				
	musical instrument.				
	and learn to play a				
	trips, school visits, after school clubs		SMT and CTs to monitor.	AHTs	
opportunities.	attend residential	Improve attendance.	CMT and CTa to manitor	HT	
participate in wider	the opportunity to		with parents.		

6. Review of expe		2015 2012			
Previous Academi	c Year	2015-2016			
i. Quality of teac	hing for all				
Desired outcome Part time support	Chosen action / approach Targeted	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate. Many success stories amongst all of the	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach) RWI in upper key stage 2 is not as prescriptive and	Cost	
teachers and TAs to make targeted teaching more possible.	teaching for maths, literacy and phonics.	targeted teaching groups. E.g. PP pupils made more progress than non-PP pupils in reading in year 1. Year 2 PP pupils made 6.89 points progress in writing last year, which is better than expected progress for all pupils and they also made more progress than they did in the previous year. In year 4, there are now more PP pupils closer to ARE in reading and writing.	will include other approaches. Targeting PP pupils within interventions has made a big difference to progress across the school. Targeted teaching will continue in this way.		
ii. Targeted supp Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost	

Improve social and emotional skills of PP pupils.	SEN team provided many programmes for pupils as well as support for parents.	Discussions with class teachers and learning mentors has shown that ALL pupils receiving 1:1 learning mentor time made huge improvements and gained age appropriate social skills. Boxall profiles demonstrated great progress for pupils having learning mentor time. Group of SEN/PP pupils from year 6 with complex social barriers made good progress and were able to carry out independent day to day activities by the end of the year.	The impact of mentoring is low in terms of direct effect on academic outcomes, however, the benefits of social and emotional outcomes are huge.	
Improve oral language skills for all PP pupils.	Provide Speech and Language intervention for targeted pupils. Provide parents of EAL and PP pupils with support through ESOL and translators.	Many success stories in speech and language development. Most PP children involved in the Talk Boost programme achieved an age appropriate skill base by the end of it. All of them made accelerated progress over the year. e.g. Child X Entry measure Social and Emotional 5 Intellectual understanding/play 5 Listening and understanding 4 Expressive skills 3 Exit measure Social and Emotional 8 + 3 Intellectual understanding/play 8 + 3 Listening and understanding 9 + 5	Talk based programmes have high impact and excellent outcomes for identified pupils. Greater attendance at parent meetings. Implementation of new NASSEA steps.	

iii. Other approaches				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Estimated impact: Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Lessons learned (and whether you will continue with this approach)	Cost
To improve attendance and punctuality of PP pupils.	Families supported by PSA.	Narrowed the gap a little between PP and non PP pupils with low attendance. Percentage of persistent absentees who were PP in 2014-15 was 75%. In 2015-16 it reduced to 40%.	Further support for vulnerable families and PP pupils who are persistent absentees.	
All PP pupils to participate in wider opportunities.	Provided pupils with the opportunity to attend residential trips, school visits, after school clubs and learn to play a musical instrument.	SEN/PP pupils got to experience things that they wouldn't ordinarily get to. Year 5 visit to Kidzania had aspirational impact on pupils.	Continue to provide as many of these opportunities for pupils. Work with Edmonton Schools Partnership.	

7. Additional detail
In this section you can annex or refer to additional information which you have used to support the sections above.
Please see Expenditure of PP Grant from academic year 2015/16 for more in-depth analysis of impact.